# 15/Leffer 8/2/00 C. McKinn CEDAR 038135

In Re the application of:

RAMEZ E. SHEHADA, ET AL.

Serial No.:

08/889,017

Filed:

July 7, 1997

For: METHOD AND DEVICES FOR LASER

INDUCED FLUORESCENCE
ATTENUATION SPECTROSCOPY

Examiner: A. Israel Group Art Unit: 2878

Certificate of Mailing

I hereby certify that this paper is being deposited this date with the U.S. Postal Service as first class mail addressed to: Box: Issue Fee, Assistant Commissioner for Patents, Washington, D.C, 20231

*farm Wurft 6/2* Name D

## STATEMENT COMMENTING ON REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE UNDER 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e)

**Box: ISSUE FEE** 

**Assistant Commissioner of Patents** 

Washington, D.C. 20231

Pursuant to C.F.R. Section 1.104(e) and the Notice of Allowability of March 24, 2000 which indicated a preference for filing any statements commenting on the Examiner's reasons for allowance with the payment of Issue Fee due June 26, 2000, Applicants hereinbelow comment on the Examiner's reasons for allowance of March 24, 2000.

Applicants wish to reemphasize the points raised in the telephonic interview held with the Examiner on March 14, 2000. Applicants' invention processes modulated fluorescence monitored at two different distances from the fluorescing

1





volume. Moreover, Applicants' invention compares such monitored modulated fluorescence to each other to obtain modulation characteristics, as expressly provided for in selected claims. As discussed in detailed with the Examiner, none of the cited documents disclosed or taught (1) monitoring the modulated fluorescence at two different distances from the fluorescing volume; or (2) the comparison step of Applicants' invention. As such, Zuckerman and Sevick-Muraca were readily dismissed as anticipating or suggesting the claimed invention. As for Alfano et al, the participants discussed in detail and at length with the Examiner that Alfano et al. merely detect fluorescence from a single location and separate the signal received into two wavelength components which are then processed and compared to respective "standards" to determine whether the cell is benign or malignant. There was no mention whatsoever by Alfano et al. of detecting fluorescence at different distances or comparing the readings to each other in order to obtain a modulation characteristic. Therefore, Alfano et al. could not have taught nor suggested to one of ordinary skill in the art to monitor modulated fluorescence at two different distances and compare the readings to each other to obtain a modulation characteristic.

A more detailed discussion of the cited documents may be found in

CEDAR 038135

## Applicants' prior communications with the Office BADE

Respectfully submitted, PRETTY, SCHROEDER & POPLAWSKI

Anne Wang, Reg. No. 36,04

Dated: June 26, 2000

444 S. Flower Street
Suite 1900
Los Angeles, CA 90071
(213) 622-7700
Docket No. CEDAR 038135
::ODMA\PCDOCS\DOCS\23372\1